IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

WEDNESDAY, THE 22ND AUGUST 2007 / 31ST SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 787 of 2007(S)

JUDGEMENT IN WPC.13840/2006 Dated 29/05/2006

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

PRADEEP M.P., AGED 40 YEARS,
S/O.PURUSHOTHAMAN, PROPRIETOR,
M/S.MODERN PLUMBING AND SANITARY WORKS,
DOOR NO.XLIII/1200, PACHALAM P.O.,
ERNAKULAM-682 012.

BY ADV. SRI.V.M.KURIAN
SRI.K.T.THOMAS
SRI.MATHEW B. KURIAN

RESPONDENT: 2ND RESPONDENT

K.G.SUKUMARAN, (AGE AND FATHER'S NAME
NOT KNOWN), THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES (GENERAL), VANCHIYOOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-5.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SHYSON P.MANGUZHA.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

.....

CONT. CASE (C) No.787 OF 2007

.....

DATED THIS THE 22nd AUGUST, 2007

J U D G M E N T

An affidavit has been filed by the respondent in which it is stated that whatever is possible from the side of the respondent is being done in the matter. It is alleged that non-co-operation from the side of the petitioner is one of the reasons for the delay in complying with the directions issued by this Court.

2. The allegations in the affidavit are seen denied in the reply affidavit filed by the petitioner. There is no representation for the petitioner.

The Contempt of Court Case however will stand closed directing the respondent to pass orders on Ext.P1 as directed in my judgment, at the earliest and at any rate by 5.9.2007.

(PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE)

tgl